1	1 HONORAI	BLE RICARDO S. MARTINEZ	
2		SEE RICHROOD, WINKIN VEE	
3	3		
4	4		
5	5		
6	6		
7	7		
8			
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON		
10	AT SEATTLE		
11	TOOLI SOUNDREEL ER MEELMINEE,		
12) No. 2:14-cv-	00831-RSM	
13	3 v. ORDER OF	DISMISSAL AND G JURISDICTION	
14	RAINIER PETROLEUM CORPORATION,)	JUNISDICTION	
15	Defendant.		
16	.6		
17			
18	This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff Puget Soundkeeper Alliance's		
19	("Soundkeeper's") unopposed Motion for an order retaining jurisdiction to enforce the parties'		
20	settlement agreement, Dkt. #43, and the parties' Joint Motion to Dismiss the case, Dkt. #45. On October 5, 2015, the Court granted in part Soundkeeper's Motion for Partial		
21			
22			
23	Summary Judgment on Defendant Rainier Petroleum Corporation's liability for violating the		
24	Crown word late (C)	Clean Water Act ("CWA") by violating certain terms and conditions of its National Pollutant	
25	Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") permit authorit	zing discharges of industrial	
26	stormwater from its facility on Colorado and Spokane Street in S	eattle, Washington. On October	
27	20 2015 the model informed the Court that there exists I the	remaining issues. The parties'	
28	b ,, and parties and all court that they betted the	parties	

29

written settlement agreement is filed in the Court's docket, and was reviewed by the Department of Justice. *See* Dkt. ##44; 46.

The Court will retain jurisdiction for the purpose of enforcing the terms of the settlement agreement through August 1, 2017, during which time this case may be reopened without filing fee so that the parties may apply to the Court for any further order that may be necessary to enforce compliance with the settlement agreement.

Having reviewed the relevant pleadings, the declarations and exhibits attached thereto, and the remainder of the record, the Court hereby finds and ORDERS that Plaintiff Soundkeeper's unopposed Motion for an order retaining jurisdiction to enforce the parties' settlement agreement, Dkt. #43, and the parties' Joint Motion to Dismiss, Dkt. #45, are GRANTED. Except as provided above, this case is DISMISSED with prejudice.

Dated this 24 day of February 2016.

RICARDO S. MARTINEZ

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE